Appl. No. 10/084,187

Docket No. 0369-0208P

Page 4 of 7 pages

<u>REMARKS</u>

Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the

present application.

Claims 1-2 are currently being prosecuted. The Examiner is respectfully

requested to reconsider his rejections in view of the remarks as set forth below.

Entry of Response

Since the present Response cancels all of the non-allowed claims,

Applicants submit that the application is *prima facie* in condition for allowance so

that entry of the response and full consideration by the Examiner is appropriate.

Allowable Subject Matter

It is gratefully acknowledged that the Examiner considers the subject matter

of claims 1 and 2 as being allowable.

Claim for Priority

As noted in the previous response, the Examiner has not yet recognized

Applicant's claim for foreign priority. It is also noted that the acknowledgement of

the claim for domestic priority has not been accomplished. The Examiner has

now indicated that Applicant has not complied with the condition for receiving the

earlier filing date and in particular, a specific reference to the prior application in

4

Appl. No. 10/084,187

Docket No. 0369-0208P

Page 5 of 7 pages

the first sentence of the specification. The Examiner's attention is directed to the

filing on February 28, 2002. In addition to the Specification and Claims, the

Declaration, the filing fee, Information Disclosure Statement, Claim for Priority

and Assignment, Applicants submitted a four-page transmittal letter. On page 2

of this letter, under Section 5, the Amendment of the Specification is directed for

the first line to include a reference to the parent application and also the claim for

foreign priority. Thus, Applicants submit that the Examiner is incorrect in stating

that this condition has not been met. Since the sentence is included in the

Specification and since Applicants have included a copy of the Request for Priority

in the parent application and since the Declaration indicates that priority is

claimed to the two Japanese applications, Applicants submit that the benefit of

the earlier filing date should be accorded. The Examiner is requested to recognize

the claim for foreign priority and to note that parentage of U.S. Application No.

09/392,240.

Election of Species

The Examiner notes that the election of species with traverse remains. As

noted in the original election, both claims relate to the elected species and

accordingly no further action is necessary.

5

Appl. No. 10/084,187

Docket No. 0369-0208P

Page 6 of 7 pages

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 3 and 4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated

by Webb et al. (U.S. Patent 6,443,622). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Since claims 3 and 4 have been cancelled, this rejection is rendered moot.

Conclusion

In view of the above, it is believed that the application is in condition for

allowance. In view of this, reconsideration of the rejection and allowance of the

claims is respectfully requested.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the

present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Robert F.

Gnuse (Reg. No. 27,295) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to

conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the

present application.

6

Appl. No. 10/084,187

Docket No. 0369-0208P

Page 7 of 7 pages

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

James M. Slattery, #28,380

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

JMS/RFG/adt 0369-0208P